United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

JNITED STATES OF AMERICA			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Timothy John Mierop			Case Number: <u>1:07-mj-00665</u>
acts re	In a quire	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 the detention of the defendant pending trial in this	1142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following case.
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense describ offense state or local offense that would have jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § an offense for which the maximum sentence an offense for which a maximum term of important controls.	is life imprisonment or death. risonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
	(3)	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparate The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed imprisonment for the offense described in finding Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttable	ant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described ble state or local offenses. ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state since the date of conviction release of the defendant from (1). le presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will have and the community. I further find that the defendant has not
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defending for which a maximum term of imprisonment of	te Findings (A) dant has committed an offense of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act
	(2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption will reasonably assure the appearance of the defe	established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions endant as required and the safety of the community.
X		There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	ate Findings (B) appear. anger the safety of another person or the community.
		Part II – Written Staten	nent of Reasons for Detention
	I fin	d that the credible testimony and information subm	nitted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence that
DEI	FEND	DANT WAIVED HIS DETENTION HEARING, ELEC	CTING NOT TO CONTEST DETENTION PENDING TRIAL.
correcti	The	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Attor	ons Regarding Detention rney General or his designated representative for confinement in a sons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending
ippeal. he Unit	The ted S	defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportu	nity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of ent, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the
November 27, 2007			/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
Date			Signature of Judge
			Ellen S. Carmody, United States Magistrate Judge Name and Title of Judge